



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/018,690	12/20/2001	Tatsuo Chiba	TSUK 0005	5149
24203	7590	10/07/2003	EXAMINER	
GRIFFIN & SZIPL, PC SUITE PH-1 2300 NINTH STREET, SOUTH ARLINGTON, VA 22204			CHACKO DAVIS, DABORAH	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1756	

DATE MAILED: 10/07/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/018,690

Applicant(s)

CHIBA ET AL.

Examiner

Daborah Chacko-Davis

Art Unit

1756

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 20 December 2001.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-40 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
- Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
- If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☒ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 3. ☒ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
- * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
- a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 6 . 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

2. Claim 1-14, 17, and 20-23, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by U. S. Patent No. 5,648,159 (Sato).

Sato, in col 2, lines 12-26, lines 30-34, and lines 61-67, in col 3, lines 1-16, in col 7, lines 27-67, in col 9, lines 24-67, and in col 10, lines 15-21, discloses a photosensitive element (dry resist) comprising a support film that comprises a biaxially oriented polyester film (laminated film), a resin layer (layer A) that contains particles formed on at least one side of the polyester film, and a photoresist layer (photosensitive resin composition) formed on the opposite side of the polyester film (laminated film) forms a coating film that is subjected to drying, wherein the photoresist composition comprises a polymeric binder, a photopolymerizable compound including a methacrylate compound (ethylenically unsaturated group), and a photopolymerization initiator (photodimerizable materials). Sato, in col 9, lines 23-45, that the heat shrinkage ratio in the longitudinal direction (biaxially stretched in the longitudinal direction) of the support film (polyester laminated film) that is heated for at least a total of 30 minutes (heat many times) to a temperature range of about 75°C to about 250°C is less than 30% (claims 1-6, 8, 12-14). Sato, in col 7, lines 1-10, discloses that the laminated film (photoresist coated

Art Unit: 1756

polyester film, dry resist) has a remarkable improvement in the slipperiness of the laminated film i.e., the contact angle is greater than 1 (claim 7). Sato, in col 4, lines 6-7, discloses that the average particle size of the particles in layer A (resin layer A) is in the range of about 0.01 to 3.0 μ (claim 9). Sato, in col 3, lines 18-21, discloses that the thickness of the resin layer A is about 0.05 to about 3 μ (claim 10). Sato, in col 6, lines 12-14, discloses that the haze of the film (laminated film) is about 1% (claim 11). Sato, in col 8, lines 50-67, discloses that the photoresist composition includes bisphenol type methacrylate compound (claim 17). Sato, in col 6, lines 41-42, discloses that the laminated film is wound up and has a surface roughness that is not less than 0.008 μ and therefore has excellent winding characteristics (no winding deviation) (claims 20-21). Sato, in col 12, lines 1-14, discloses that the laminated film structure (dry resist) is laminated on a glass substrate and irradiated with UV light during exposure and then developed to form a resist pattern which is then subjected to etching to form circuit patterns (wiring patterns) (claims 22-23)

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

4. Claims 15-16, 18-19, and 24-40, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over U. S. Patent No. 5,648,159 (Sato) in view of U. S. Patent No. 6,207,345 (Kimura et al).

Art Unit: 1756

Sato is discussed in paragraph no. 2.

Sato, in col 12, lines 1-14, discloses that the laminated film structure (dry resist) is laminated on a glass substrate and irradiated with UV light and developed and etched to form a resist pattern which is then subjected to etching to form circuit patterns (wiring patterns, greater than 1μ width) (claims 31-33, 29, and 39).

The difference between the claims and Sato is that Sato does not disclose that the binder polymer in the photosensitive resin composition has a weight average molecular weight of about 20,000 to about 300,000 (claim 15). Sato does not disclose that the acid value of the binder polymer is 50 to 300 mg KOH/g (claim 16). Sato does not disclose that the photopolymerization initiator is 2,4,5-triaryl imidazole dimer (claim 18). Sato does not disclose the formulation amounts of the components (A), (B), and (C) recited in claim 19. Sato does not disclose that the unevenness on the side surface of the resist pattern or the wiring pattern is 0 to 3μ (claims 24, and 34). Sato does not disclose that the number of unevenness larger than 3.0μ on the center line of the side surface of the resist pattern or the wiring pattern is 0 to $5/4\text{mm}$ (claims 25, and 35). Sato does not disclose that the average roughness on the side surface of the resist pattern or the wiring pattern is 0 to 2μ (claims 26, and 36). Sato does not disclose that the maximum height on the side surface of the resist pattern or the wiring pattern is 0 to 3μ (claims 27-28, and 37-38). Sato does not disclose that the height of the resist pattern is 1 to 150μ (claim 30). Sato does not disclose that the height of the wiring pattern is 0.01 to 200μ (claim 40).

Art Unit: 1756

Kimura, in col 4, lines 5-12, discloses that the polymeric binder of the photosensitive resin composition includes a carboxyl group-containing binder having a weight average molecular weight of about 10,000 to about 500,000, and said binder polymer has an acid value of about 30 to 300. Kimura, in col 4, lines 33-44, discloses that the photopolymerization initiator is 2,4,5-triarylimidazole dimer. Kimura, in col 9, Table 1, discloses a photosensitive resin composition that includes 60 parts by weight of the binder polymer, 40 parts by weight of photopolymerizable compound, and 5 parts by weight of the polymerization initiator. Kimura, in col 6, lines 66-67, in col 7, lines 1-8, in col 8, lines 49-67, and in col 9, lines 1-20, discloses that the resist pattern developed from the laminate film has a lowered or no surface unevenness or surface roughness and has a resist pattern or corresponding wiring pattern height of 14μ .

Therefore, it would be obvious to a skilled artisan to modify Sato by employing the photosensitive composition (components A, B, and C) suggested by Kimura because Kimura, in col 3, lines 65-67, and in col 4, lines 1-5, discloses that employing the suggested composition in the photosensitive resin composition enables development of the imaged resist in a dilute alkaline developer, and in col 3, lines 16-20, discloses that using the resin composition suggested in the laminated film results in a laminate film that has a haze of less than 10%.

Conclusion

5. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Daborah Chacko-Davis whose telephone number is (703) 306-5923. If the examiner is unavailable, you may contact her supervisor, Mark

Art Unit: 1756

F. Huff at (703) 308-2464. FAX communications should be sent to the appropriate FAX number; (703) 872-9311 for After Final Responses only or (703) 872-9310 for all other responses. FAXES received after 4:00 P.M. will not be processed until the following business day.

dcd

mo
September 26, 2003.

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Mark F. Huff", written in a cursive style.

MARK F. HUFF
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700